### WO

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America v. Delowe R Juan			rica	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION		
				Case Number:	CR-11-1879-PHX-SRB	
	cordance stablishe		3 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1), a oth, as applicable.)	a detention hearing has be	een held. I conclude that the following facts	
	the defendant is a danger to the community and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case					
×	the defendant is a serious flight risk and requires the detention of the de			detention of the defendar	nt pending disposition in this case.	
			PART I F	INDINGS OF FACT		
	(1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense) would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that					
		a crime of vio	lence as defined in 18	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		an offense fo	r which the maximum s	sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.	
		an offense fo	r which a maximum ter	m of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that described in	was committed after the 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(	e defendant had been con A)-(C), or comparable stat	victed of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.	
		device (as the	at involves a minor vict ose terms are defined i der 18 U.S.C. §2250.	im or that involves the pos n section 921), or any othe	ssession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) will reasonably assure not rebutted this pres	ndings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition Il reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant l of rebutted this presumption.			
			Altern	ative Findings		
	(1) 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable			use to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense	
		for which a m	aximum term of impris	onment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in1	
		under 18 U.S	s.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or	2332b.		
		under 18 U.S prescribed.	.C. 1581-1594, for whi	ch a maximum term of imp	orisonment of 20 years or more is	
		an offense in	volving a minor victim ι	under section	2	
	(2)	The defendant has no	ot rebutted the presump	otion established by finding	g 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S 1201, 1591, 2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.$ 

## Case 2:11-cr-01879-SRB Document 43 Filed 07/22/15 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight.				
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
The	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

### In addition:

The defendant is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release by committing a crime, domestic violence, failing to report to his probation officer, failing to notify the probation officer before changing his residence, using marijuana, failing to participate in substance abuse treatment, and using alcohol. The Court finds that the defendant has not established that he does not pose a risk of flight because he moved his residence twice (to his girlfriend's and mother's residences) without notifying his probation officer. The probation officer made three contacts with the defendant's grandmother, with whom defendant was supposed to reside, but was unable to make contact with the defendant. The defendant's failure to reside at the residence reported to the probation office, and is failure to report his changes of residence, placed him in absconder status as his whereabouts were unknown. The defendant's conduct while on supervision (engaging in a domestic dispute, using marijuana and alcohol, and disregarding the Court's orders with respect to reporting any change in his residence) establishes that he is unlikely to abide by any order to appear for court proceedings and therefore he poses a risk of flight.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 2<sup>1st</sup> day of July, 2015.

Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge